

THE PTOLEMAEAN INEQUALITY IN THE CLOSURE OF COMPLEX HYPERBOLIC PLANE

IOANNIS D. PLATIS & NILGÜN SÖNMEZ

ABSTRACT. We prove Ptolemaean Inequality and Ptolemaeus' Theorem in the closure complex hyperbolic plane endowed with the Cygan metric.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (S, ρ) be a (semi-)metric space. The (semi-)metric ρ is called *Ptolemaean* if any four distinct points p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 in S satisfy the *Ptolemaean Inequality*; that is, for any permutation (i, j, k, l) in the permutation group S_4 we have

$$\rho(p_i, p_k) \cdot \rho(p_j, p_l) \leq \rho(p_i, p_j) \cdot \rho(p_k, p_l) + \rho(p_j, p_k) \cdot \rho(p_l, p_i).$$

A subset σ of S is called a *Ptolemaean circle* if for any four distinct points p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 in σ such that p_1 and p_3 separate p_2 and p_4 we have

$$\rho(p_1, p_3) \cdot \rho(p_2, p_4) = \rho(p_1, p_2) \cdot \rho(p_3, p_4) + \rho(p_2, p_3) \cdot \rho(p_4, p_1).$$

Then we say that σ satisfies the *Theorem of Ptolemaeus*. The prototype of course is Euclidean plane case, which was proved by the Ancient Greek mathematician Claudius Ptolemaeus (Ptolemy) of Alexandria almost 1800 years ago: Inequality holds for any four points of the Euclidean plane and Ptolemaean circles are Euclidean circles. From the times of antiquity it was realised that even in the simple Euclidean case, Ptolemaean Inequality has an intrinsic importance of its own and various generalisations have been given by a variety of researchers since then. In particular, generalisations to much more abstract spaces have appeared in the last 70 years. Illustratively, see [1] and [5] for the case of CAT(0) and CAT(−1) cases, respectively, as well as [4] for the case of spaces of non-positive curvature, [6] for the case of Hilbert geometries and [10] for normed spaces.

In this paper we investigate the Ptolemaean Inequality and the Theorem of Ptolemaeus in the metric space $(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2, \rho)$, where $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is the compactified complex hyperbolic plane and ρ is the Cygan metric, see Section 2 for the definitions. Working in a much more general concept, the first author showed in [8] that both Ptolemaean Inequality and Theorem of Ptolemaeus hold in the boundary of complex hyperbolic plane $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, when the latter is endowed with the Korányi-Cygan metric $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$, see Section 2.2. The boundary of complex hyperbolic plane is a sphere and the Cygan metric ρ is the natural extension of $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$ in the whole sphere. For the proof we use metric cross-ratios and their properties, see Section 3.1. Using cross-ratios, both Ptolemaean Inequality and Theorem of Ptolemaeus can be expressed in quite a neat way.

This paper is organised as follows: In the preliminary Section 2 we state well known facts about complex hyperbolic plane, its boundary, horospherical coordinates and Cygan metric. In Section 3 we prove Ptolemaean Inequality (Theorem 3.1) and finally in Section 4 we prove Ptolemaeus' Theorem. Both proofs are carried out via metric cross-ratio in $(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2, \rho)$ and its invariance properties.

Date: April 5, 2016

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classifications.* 53C17, 51M10, 51F99.

Key words. Complex hyperbolic plane, Cygan metric, Ptolemaean inequality, Ptolemaeus' Theorem.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The material in this section is well known; for further details we refer to [3], [7]. In Section 2.1 we define complex hyperbolic plane and describe its isometries with respect to the Bergman metric. Section 2.2 is devoted to the boundary of complex hyperbolic plane; in particular, we define the Heisenberg group and the Heisenberg (Korányi-Cygan) metric. Horospherical coordinates for complex hyperbolic plane are described in Section 2.3 and finally, Cygan metric is in Section 2.4.

2.1. Complex hyperbolic plane. Let $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ be the vector space \mathbb{C}^3 with the Hermitian form of signature $(2, 1)$ given by

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \mathbf{w}^* J \mathbf{z} = \overline{w}_3 z_1 + \overline{w}_2 z_2 + \overline{w}_1 z_3,$$

where J is the matrix of the Hermitian form:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We consider the following subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} V_- &= \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1} : \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle < 0 \right\}, \\ V_0 &= \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} : \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{P} : \mathbb{C}^{2,1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ be the canonical projection onto the two-dimensional complex projective space. Then *complex hyperbolic plane* $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is defined to be $\mathbb{P}V_-$ and its boundary $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is $\mathbb{P}V_0$. Specifically, $\mathbb{C}^{2,1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ may be covered with three charts H_1, H_2, H_3 where H_j comprises those points in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ for which $z_j \neq 0$. It is clear that V_- is contained in H_3 . The canonical projection from H_3 to \mathbb{C}^n is given by $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{z}) = (z_1 z_3^{-1}, z_2 z_3^{-1}) = z$. Therefore we can write $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n = \mathbb{P}(V_-)$ as

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = \left\{ (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : 2\Re(z_1) + |z_2|^2 < 0 \right\},$$

which is called the *Siegel domain model for $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$* . There are distinguished points in V_0 which we denote by \mathbf{o} and ∞ :

$$\mathbf{o} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \infty = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $V_0 \setminus \{\infty\}$ is contained in H_3 and $V_0 \setminus \{\mathbf{o}\}$ (in particular ∞) is contained in H_1 . Let $\mathbb{P}\mathbf{o} = o$ and $\mathbb{P}\infty = \infty$. Then we can write $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = \mathbb{P}(V_0)$ as

$$\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{\infty\} = \left\{ (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^n : 2\Re(z_1) + |z_2|^2 = 0 \right\}.$$

In particular $o = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

Conversely, given a point z of $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{P}(H_3) \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ we may lift $z = (z_1, z_2)$ to a point \mathbf{z} in $H_3 \subset \mathbb{C}^{2,1}$, called the *standard lift* of z , by writing \mathbf{z} in non-homogeneous coordinates as

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The *Riemannian metric* on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is defined by the distance function ρ given by the formula

$$\cosh^2 \left(\frac{\rho(z, w)}{2} \right) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \rangle} = \frac{|\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle|^2}{|\mathbf{z}|^2 |\mathbf{w}|^2},$$

where \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{w} in V_- are the standard lifts of z and w in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and $|\mathbf{z}| = \sqrt{-\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle}$. Alternatively,

$$ds^2 = -\frac{4}{\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle^2} \det \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle & \langle d\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{z}, d\mathbf{z} \rangle & \langle d\mathbf{z}, d\mathbf{z} \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

The sectional curvature of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is pinched between -1 and $-1/4$. Also, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is a complex manifold, the metric is Kähler (in fact, it is the *Bergman metric*) and the holomorphic sectional curvature equals to -1 .

Denote by $U(2,1)$ the group of unitary matrices for the Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Each matrix $A \in U(2,1)$ satisfies the relation $A^{-1} = JA^*J$ where A^* is the Hermitian transpose of A . The isometry group of complex hyperbolic plane is the *projective group* $PU(2,1)$. Instead, we may use $SU(2,1)$, which is a three-fold cover of $PU(2,1)$.

Two kinds of subspaces of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ are of particular interest, that is \mathbb{C} -lines and mainly \mathbb{R} -planes.

A \mathbb{C} -line is an isometric image of the embedding of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(z) < 0\}$ into $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. We may assume that the embedding is the standard one

$$z \mapsto (z, 0).$$

The isometries preserving a \mathbb{C} -line is a subgroup isomorphic to $U(1,1)$.

An \mathbb{R} -plane (or *Lagrangian plane*) \mathcal{R} is a real 2-dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ characterised by $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{R}$. Any real plane \mathcal{R} is the isometric image of an embedded copy of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 2x_1 + x_2^2 < 0\}$ into $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$; here, we may assume that the embedding is the standard one:

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, 0).$$

The isometries preserving the \mathbb{R} -plane above is a subgroup isomorphic to $PO(2,1)$.

2.2. The boundary: Heisenberg group. A finite point z is in the boundary of the Siegel domain if its standard lift to $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ is

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad 2\Re(z_1) + |z_2|^2 = 0.$$

We set

$$\zeta = z_2/\sqrt{2}, \quad \zeta = z_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} -|\zeta|^2 + iv \\ \sqrt{2}\zeta \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this way, the boundary of the Siegel is identified to the one point compactification of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, that is the sphere S^3 . The action of the stabiliser of infinity $\text{Stab}(\infty)$ gives this set the structure of a group which we shall denote by \mathfrak{H} . The multiplication for \mathfrak{H} is

$$(\zeta, v) * (\zeta', v') = (\zeta + \zeta', v + v' + 2\Im(\overline{\zeta'}\zeta)).$$

We call \mathfrak{H} the *Heisenberg group*; $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = \mathfrak{H} \cup \{\infty\}$. The *Korányi gauge* $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ defined on \mathfrak{H} is given by

$$|(\zeta, v)|_{\mathfrak{H}} = |-|\zeta|^2 + v|^{1/2},$$

where on the right hand side we have the Euclidean norm. Notice that $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is not a norm in the usual sense; however, from this gauge we obtain a metric on \mathfrak{H} , the *Heisenberg metric* $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$, which is defined by the relation

$$d_{\mathfrak{H}}((\zeta, v), ((\zeta', v'))) = |((\zeta, v)^{-1} * ((\zeta', v')))|_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

We remark that the Heisenberg metric is not a path metric. By taking the standard lift of points on $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{\infty\}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ we can write the metric $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$ as:

$$d_{\mathfrak{H}}((\zeta, v), (\zeta', v')) = \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} -|\zeta|^2 + v \\ \sqrt{2}\zeta \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -|\zeta'|^2 + v' \\ \sqrt{2}\zeta' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^{1/2}.$$

The metric $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is invariant under *left translations* and *rotations about the axis* $\mathcal{V} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$. Left translations are essentially the left action of \mathfrak{H} on itself: Given a point $(\zeta', v') \in \mathfrak{H}$ we define

$$T_{(\zeta', v')}(\zeta, v) = (\zeta', v') * (\zeta, v).$$

Rotations come from the action of $U(1)$ on \mathbb{C} : Given a $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$R_{\theta}(\zeta, v) = (e^{i\theta}\zeta, v).$$

These actions form the group $\text{Isom}^+(\mathfrak{H}, d_{\mathfrak{H}})$ of $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$ -*orientation-preserving isometries*; this acts transitively on \mathfrak{H} . The full group of $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$ -isometries comprises orientation-preserving isometries followed by the conjugation $(\zeta, v) \mapsto (\bar{\zeta}, -v)$, Note also that the stabiliser of 0 consists of rotations. All the above transformations are extended naturally (and uniquely) on the boundary $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, by requiring the extended transformations to map ∞ to itself.

Now, if $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_*^+$ we define

$$D_{\delta}(\zeta, v) = (\delta\zeta, \delta^2v), \quad D_{\delta}(\infty) = \infty.$$

We call the map D_{δ} a *dilation*. It is clear that for every $(\zeta, v), (\zeta', v') \in \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ we have

$$d_{\mathfrak{H}}(D_{\delta}(\zeta, v), D_{\delta}(\zeta', v')) = \delta d_{\mathfrak{H}}((\zeta, v), (\zeta', v')).$$

Therefore $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is scaled up to multiplicative constants by the action of dilations. The *orientation-preserving similarity group* (resp. *similarity group*) $\text{Sim}^+(\mathfrak{H}, d_{\mathfrak{H}})$ (resp. $\text{Sim}(\mathfrak{H}, d_{\mathfrak{H}})$) is the group comprising orientation-preserving Heisenberg isometries (resp. Heisenberg isometries) and dilations. Finally, *inversion* I is given by

$$I(\zeta, v) = \left(\zeta(-|\zeta|^2 + iv)^{-1}, v|-\zeta|^2 + iv|^{-2} \right), \quad \text{if } (\zeta, v) \neq o, \infty, \quad I(o) = \infty, \quad I(\infty) = o.$$

Inversion I is an involution of $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Moreover, for all $p = (\zeta, v), p' = (\zeta', v') \in \mathfrak{H} \setminus \{o\}$ we have

$$d_{\mathfrak{H}}(I(p), o) = \frac{1}{d_{\mathfrak{H}}(p, o)}, \quad d_{\mathfrak{H}}(I(p), I(p')) = \frac{d_{\mathfrak{H}}(p, p')}{d_{\mathfrak{H}}(p, o) \cdot d_{\mathfrak{H}}(o, p')}.$$

The group generated from orientation-preserving similarities and inversion is isomorphic to the group $\text{PU}(2, 1)$ of holomorphic isometries of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with respect to the Bergman metric; each holomorphic isometry can be written as a composition of orientation-preserving similarities and inversion. Given two distinct points on the boundary, we can find an element of $\text{PU}(2, 1)$ mapping those points to 0 and ∞ respectively; in particular, $\text{PU}(2, 1)$ acts doubly transitively on the boundary.

2.3. Horospherical coordinates. For a fixed $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ consider all those points $z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ for which the standard lift \mathbf{z} satisfies $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = 2u$. Equivalently

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } 2\Re(z_1) + |z_2|^2 = 2u.$$

By writing again $z_2 = 2\zeta$ we have $z_1 = |\zeta|^2 u + iv$. Thus z corresponds to a point $(\zeta, v, u) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} |\zeta|^2 - u + iv \\ \sqrt{2}\zeta \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let H_u denote the set of points in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = 2u$. This set is called the *horosphere of height u* . Clearly H_u carries the structure of the Heisenberg group: A point z in the Siegel domain is consequently canonically identified to $(\zeta, v, u) \in \mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{R}^+$; we call (ζ, v, u) the *horospherical coordinates of z* . The set of the finite boundary points is the horosphere of height zero: $H_0 = \partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{\infty\} \simeq \mathfrak{H}$ where the isomorphism is

$$\mathfrak{H} \ni (\zeta, v) \mapsto (\zeta, v, 0) \in H_0.$$

Explicitly, we have the following transformations of H_u :

(1) Left Heisenberg translation by (ζ', v') is given by

$$(2.1) \quad T_{(\zeta', v')}^u : (\zeta, v, u) \mapsto (\zeta + \zeta', v + v' + 2\Im(\zeta' \bar{\zeta}), u).$$

(2) Rotation in an angle θ is given by

$$(2.2) \quad R_{\theta}^u : (\zeta, v, u) \mapsto (e^{i\theta} \zeta, v, u)$$

(3) Dilation by $\delta > 0$ is given by

$$(2.3) \quad D_{\delta}^u : (\zeta, v, u) \mapsto (\delta \zeta, \delta^2 v, u).$$

(4) Inversion I^u is given by

$$(2.4) \quad I^u : (\zeta, v, u) \mapsto (I(\zeta, v), u).$$

(5) Conjugation J^u is given by

$$(2.5) \quad J^u : (\zeta, v, u) \mapsto (J(\zeta, v), u).$$

The group G_u comprising compositions of transformations (2.1)-(2.4) is thus a group isomorphic to $\text{PU}(2, 1)$. Any two points $p, q \in H_u$ may be mapped to $\infty, (0, 0, u)$, respectively, by an element of G_u ; therefore G_u acts doubly transitively on H_u . The group $\overline{G_u}$ comprises elements of G_u followed by conjugation J^u and is a group isomorphic to $\overline{\text{PU}(2, 1)}$, that is, the group comprising elements of $\text{PU}(2, 1)$ followed by J .

Remark 2.1. Two horospheres of strictly positive height u and u' may be mapped onto one another via an element of $\text{PU}(2, 1)$: If with no loss of generality we suppose that $u < u'$, then $D_{\delta}(H_u) = (H_{u'})$, where $\delta = (u'/u)^{1/2}$. Of course, there is no element of $\text{PU}(2, 1)$ mapping a horosphere of positive height H_u to H_0 , $\text{PU}(2, 1)$ preserves V_- and V_0 .

The *horoball U_u of height u* is the union of all horospheres of height $t > u$; it is an open topological ball of dimension 4. The complex hyperbolic plane is thus a horoball itself, that is the horoball U_0 of height 0.

2.4. The Cygan metric. The *Cygan metric* on $\overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \setminus \{\infty\}$ is an extension of the Heisenberg metric $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$ on \mathfrak{H} to an incomplete metric ρ ; this is done by defining

$$\rho((\zeta_1, v_1, u_1), (\zeta_2, v_2, u_2)) = \left| |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|^2 + |u_1 - u_2| - iv_1 + iv_2 - 2i\Im(\zeta_1 \bar{\zeta}_2) \right|^{1/2}.$$

We stress here that this agrees with $\langle \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2 \rangle$ if and only if one (or both) of \mathbf{z}_1 or \mathbf{z}_2 is a null vector, that is, it corresponds to a point at the boundary. It is absolutely clear that ρ satisfies the following: If $p = (q, u) = (\zeta, v, u)$ and $p' = (q', u') = (\zeta', v', u')$ then

- (1) $\rho(p, p') \geq 0$ and $\rho(p, p') = 0$ if and only if $p = p'$;
- (2) $\rho(p, p') = \rho(p', p)$.

Note also that if p, p' lie in the same horosphere H_u if and only if

$$\rho(p, p') = d_{\mathfrak{H}}((\zeta, v), (\zeta', v')) .$$

It follows that when we consider the restriction ρ_u of ρ on a horosphere H_u , then the orientation-preserving isometries of ρ_u are compositions of transformations (2.1) and (2.2), the orientation-preserving similarities are compositions of transformations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) and the full group of similarities comprising orientation-preserving similarities followed by conjugation (2.5).

It remains to show that ρ satisfies the triangle inequality; by showing this we shall also have a proof that the Heisenberg metric $d_{\mathfrak{H}}$ on \mathfrak{H} is also a metric.

Proposition 2.2. *The function $\rho : \overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \setminus \{\infty\} \times \overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \setminus \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies the triangle inequality.*

Proof. We remark first that if $p = (\zeta, v, u)$ and $q = (\zeta', v', u')$, then

$$\rho(p, q) = \rho((T_{(-\zeta', -v')}(\zeta, u), v), (0, 0, u')) .$$

According to the above remark it suffices to consider the points

$$p_1 = (\zeta_1, v_1, u_1), \quad p_3 = (0, 0, u_3), \quad p_2 = (\zeta_2, v_2, u_2)$$

and show that

$$\rho(p_1, p_2) \leq \rho(p_1, p_3) + \rho(p_3, p_2) .$$

For this, we indeed have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2(p_1, p_2) &= ||\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|^2 + |u_1 - u_2| - iv_1 + iv_2 - 2i\Im(\zeta_1 \overline{\zeta_2})| \\ &\leq ||\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2 - 2\Re(\zeta_1 \overline{\zeta_2}) + |u_1 - u_3| + |u_2 - u_3| - iv_1 + iv_2 - 2i\Im(\zeta_1 \overline{\zeta_2})| \\ &\leq ||\zeta_1|^2 + |u_1 - u_3| - iv_1| + 2|\zeta_1||\zeta_2| + ||\zeta_2|^2 + |u_2 - u_3| - iv_2| \\ &\leq ||\zeta_1|^2 + |u_1 - u_3| - iv_1| + 2||\zeta_1|^2 + |u_1 - u_3| - iv_1|^{1/2} ||\zeta_2|^2 + |u_2 - u_3| - iv_2|^{1/2} \\ &\quad + ||\zeta_2|^2 + |u_2 - u_3| - iv_2| \\ &\leq (\rho(p_1, p_3) + \rho(p_2, p_3))^2 . \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.3. It is quite useful to remark that in the above case triangle inequality holds as an equality if and only if

$$u_1 = u_2 = u_3, \quad v_1 = v_2 = 0, \quad \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \zeta_1 \cdot \zeta_2 \leq 0 .$$

The group of orientation-preserving similarities Sim_{ρ}^+ of the Cygan metric is identified to the subgroup of $\text{PU}(2, 1)$ comprising elements which stabilise ∞ . Explicitly, the orientation-preserving Cygan isometries comprise orientation-preserving Heisenberg isometries. that is, translations

$$T_{(\zeta', v')}(\zeta, v, u) = (T_{(\zeta', v')}(\zeta, v), u) ,$$

and rotations

$$R_{\theta}(\zeta, v, u) = (R_{\theta}(\zeta, v), u) .$$

Notice that both translations and rotations preserve horospheres and their restrictions on each horosphere H_u are T^u and R_u^{θ} , respectively. Now dilations D_{δ} , $\delta > 0$, are given by

$$D_{\delta}(\zeta, v, u) = (D_{\delta}(\zeta, v), \delta^2 \cdot u) .$$

The full group of Cygan similarities comprise orientation-preserving similarities followed by conjugation J , where

$$J(\zeta, v, u) = (\bar{\zeta}, -v, u).$$

Again, the restriction of J on an arbitrary horosphere H_u is J^u . We finally discuss inversion I ; this is given by

$$I(\zeta, v, u) = \left(\frac{\zeta}{-|\zeta|^2 + iv - u}, -\frac{v}{-|\zeta|^2 + iv - u|^2}, \frac{u}{-|\zeta|^2 + iv - u|^2} \right).$$

Inversion I is an involution of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$; notice that $I(\infty) = o$. Moreover, for all $p = (\zeta, v, u)$, $p' = (\zeta', v', u') \in \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{o\}$ we have

$$\rho(I(p), o) = \frac{1}{\rho(p, o)}, \quad \rho(I(p), I(p')) = \frac{\rho(p, p')}{\rho(p, o) \rho(o, p')}.$$

The above formula can be proved by carrying out straightforward calculations; however, it follows directly by a more general statement, see for instance Prop. 2.7 in [9]. Note further that $I = I^0$ and the restriction of I onto any other horosphere H_u , $u > 0$, is *not* equal to I^u . Finally, we remark that inversion I fixes the *unit Cygan sphere* $S(0, 1)$ centred at o :

$$S(0, 1) = \{z = (\zeta, v, u) : \rho(z, o) = 1\}.$$

3. PTOLEMAEAN INEQUALITY

In this section we prove Ptolemaean Inequality for the compactified complex hyperbolic plane $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ endowed with the Cygan metric. For the proof, we use the ρ -metric cross-ratio defined in Section 3.1. The Ptolemaean Inequality is then stated and proved (Theorem 3.1) in Section 3.2.

3.1. The metric cross-ratio. Let $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be the compactified hyperbolic plane; that is

$$\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \cup \mathfrak{H} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

We extend the Cygan metric ρ in $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{\infty\}$ into a metric in $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ which we will again denote by ρ , by requiring

$$\rho(p, \infty) = +\infty, \quad \text{if } p \neq \infty, \quad \rho(\infty, \infty) = 0.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ the set of quadruples of pairwise distinct points of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, that is

$$\mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2) = \left(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \right)^4 \setminus \{\text{diagonals}\},$$

and let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ be arbitrary. There are six distances in $(0, +\infty]$ involved:

$$\rho(p_i, p_j), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 4, \quad i \neq j.$$

We adopt the convention: $(+\infty) : (+\infty) = 1$, and to \mathbf{p} we associate the *cross-ratio* $\mathbb{X}^\rho(\mathbf{p})$ defined by

$$\mathbb{X}^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\rho(p_2, p_4)}{\rho(p_2, p_3)} \cdot \frac{\rho(p_1, p_3)}{\rho(p_1, p_4)}.$$

From the discussion in Section 2.4 it follows that the cross-ratio \mathbb{X}^ρ remains invariant under the diagonal action of $\text{PU}(2, 1)$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$. As a corollary we have that if p_i lie on the same horosphere H_u , then \mathbb{X}^ρ is invariant under the action of G_u .

For every $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, 4$, such that $p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l \in \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ are pairwise disjoint, the following symmetry conditions are clearly satisfied:

(S1)

$$\mathbb{X}^\rho(p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l) = \mathbb{X}^\rho(p_j, p_i, p_l, p_k) = \mathbb{X}^\rho(p_k, p_l, p_i, p_j).$$

(notice that all the above are also equal to $\mathbb{X}^\rho(p_l, p_k, p_j, p_i)$),

(S2)

$$\mathbb{X}^\rho(p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l) \cdot \mathbb{X}^\rho(p_i, p_j, p_l, p_k) = 1,$$

(S3)

$$\mathbb{X}^\rho(p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l) \cdot \mathbb{X}^\rho(p_i, p_l, p_j, p_k) = \mathbb{X}^\rho(p_i, p_k, p_j, p_l).$$

Let now $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}_\mathbb{C}^2})$ and set

$$\mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{X}^\rho(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4), \quad \mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{X}^\rho(p_1, p_3, p_2, p_4).$$

Then due to properties (S1), (S2) and (S3), the cross-ratios of all possible permutations of points of \mathbf{p} are functions of $\mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p})$ and $\mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p})$.

3.2. Ptolemaean Inequality. Ptolemaean Inequality for the metric space $(\overline{\mathbf{H}_\mathbb{C}^2}, \rho)$ can be stated as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}_\mathbb{C}^2})$ and consider the cross-ratios $\mathbb{X}_i(\mathbf{p})$, $i = 1, 2$. Then the following inequalities hold*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{X}_1(\mathbf{p}) + \mathbb{X}_2(\mathbf{p}) \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbb{X}_1(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbb{X}_2(\mathbf{p})| \leq 1.$$

For the proof we need the following lemmata:

Lemma 3.2. *If $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{H}_\mathbb{C}^2)$, then there exist points $p'_1, p'_2, p'_3 \in \overline{\mathbf{H}_\mathbb{C}^2}$ such that if $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$ and $\mathbf{p}' = (p'_1, p'_2, p'_3, o)$, then*

$$\mathbb{X}_i^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{X}_i^\rho(\mathbf{p}'), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. We write

$$p_i = (\zeta_1, v_i, u_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, 4.$$

With no loss of generality we may assume that $u_4 = \min\{u_i, i = 1, \dots, 4\}$. Then we set

$$p'_i = (T_{(-\zeta_4, -v_4)}(\zeta_i, v_i), u_i - u_4), \quad i = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Clearly, $p'_4 = o$. Now,

$$\rho(p'_i, p'_j) = \rho(p_i, p_j),$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, 4$, $i \neq j$; hence the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{H}_\mathbb{C}^2)$, there exists a $\mathbf{q} = (p, q, r, \infty) \in \mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}_\mathbb{C}^2})$ such that*

$$\mathbb{X}_i^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{X}_i^\rho(\mathbf{q}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. Given the quadruple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{H}_\mathbb{C}^2)$, we track down the quadruple $\mathbf{p}' = (p'_1, p'_2, p'_3, o)$ which we may obtain from Lemma 3.2. Applying the inversion I to points of \mathbf{p}' , we have a quadruple $\mathbf{q} = (p, q, r, \infty) \in \mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}_\mathbb{C}^2})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1 In the first place we consider quadruples of the form $\mathbf{p} = (p, q, r, \infty) \in \mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2})$ and we will show that Inequalities (3.1) hold for these quadruples. Notice that we do not assume any specific conditions for the points other than infinity. Now we have

$$\mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{X}(p, q, r, \infty) = \frac{\rho(r, p)}{\rho(r, q)}, \quad \mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{X}(p, r, q, \infty) = \frac{\rho(q, p)}{\rho(q, r)},$$

and the result follows because from the triangle inequality.

Next, we consider an arbitrary $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2})$. If one or more of the points of \mathbf{p} lie on the boundary, then by applying a Heisenberg translation and inversion if necessary, we obtain a quadruple of the form $\mathbf{p}' = (p, q, r, \infty)$ such that

$$\mathbb{X}_i^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{X}_i^\rho(\mathbf{q}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

If none of the points of \mathbf{p} belong to the boundary, then from Lemma 3.3 there exists a quadruple the form $\mathbf{p}' = (p, q, r, \infty)$ such that

$$\mathbb{X}_i^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{X}_i^\rho(\mathbf{q}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The proof is complete. \square

4. PTOLEMAUS' THEOREM

We prove Ptolemaus' Theorem 4.3 in Section 4.2. An introductory discussion of \mathbb{R} -circles is in Section 4.1.

4.1. \mathbb{R} -circles. An \mathbb{R} -circle \mathcal{R} of height u is the intersection of a Lagrangian plane with a horosphere H_u , $u \geq 0$. We consider two particular \mathbb{R} -circles, namely, the *standard \mathbb{R} -circle of height 0* (passing through 0 and ∞),

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}^0 = \{(x, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{H} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

and the *standard \mathbb{R} -circle of height 1* (passing through 0 and ∞),

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 = \{(x, 0, 1) \in H_1 \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Infinite \mathbb{R} -circles, that is, \mathbb{R} -circles passing through infinity are straight lines; on the other hand, finite \mathbb{R} -circles are more complicated curves, see for instance [3] or [7]. An \mathbb{R} -circle R is homeomorphic to the unit circle S^1 ; given four distinct points p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 in R , we say that a pair of these points *separates* the remaining pair, if the elements of the latter lie in different components of the set comprising of R minus the initial pair, e.g., p_1, p_3 separate the points p_2, p_4 if p_2 and p_4 lie in different components of $R \setminus \{p_1, p_3\}$. See also Section 2.3 in [2].

Proposition 4.1. *Any \mathbb{R} -circle \mathbb{R} may be mapped onto the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$ or $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ by a map g in a manner so that if $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathbf{p}' = (g(p_1), g(p_2), g(p_3), g(p_4))$, then*

$$\mathbb{X}^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{X}(\mathbf{p}').$$

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{R} is an \mathbb{R} -circle of arbitrary height u . If it passes through ∞ , then applying an element of G_u we may map it on the standard \mathbb{R} -circle of height u . If $u \neq 0$, by applying the dilation $D_{1/u}$ we have a map from \mathcal{R} to $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$. Suppose now that our initial \mathcal{R} does not pass through infinity. By applying a Heisenberg translation, we map it onto an \mathbb{R} -circle of height u that passes through $(0, 0, u)$. Applying inversion I^u and a Heisenberg translation and a rotation R^u if necessary, we map the latter onto the standard \mathbb{R} -circle of height u . All the above transformations preserve the metric cross-ratio \mathbb{X}^ρ . The proof is complete. \square

Proposition 4.2. *All \mathbb{R} -circles are Ptolemaean circles.*

Proof. According to the previous proposition it suffices to show that the standard \mathbb{R} -circle of height u , where $u = 0$ or 1 , is a Ptolemaean circle. Let p_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ points in the standard \mathbb{R} -circle of height u . We suppose first that p_1 and p_3 separate p_2 and p_4 ; we may assume that

$$p_1 = \infty, \quad p_2 = (x_2, 0, u), \quad p_3 = (x_3, 0, u), \quad p_4 = (0, 0, u),$$

where $x_2 > x_3 > 0$. Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$; then

$$\mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{x_2}{x_2 - x_3}, \quad \mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{x_3}{x_2 - x_3}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = 1$. The cases where p_1 and p_2 separate p_3 and p_4 and p_1 and p_4 separate p_2 and p_3 are proved in an analogous manner. \square

4.2. Proof of Ptolemaeus' Theorem. We now state and prove Ptolemaeus' Theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *A curve σ in $(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}, \rho)$ is a Ptolemaean circle if and only if it is an \mathbb{R} -circle. Explicitly, let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$ be a quadruple of pairwise distinct points lying on a Ptolemaean circle. Then*

- (1) $\mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = 1$ if p_1 and p_3 separate p_2 and p_4 ;
- (2) $\mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = 1$ if p_1 and p_2 separate p_3 and p_4 ;
- (3) $\mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p}) + \mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = 1$ if p_1 and p_4 separate p_2 and p_3 .

Proof. According to Proposition 4.2 we only have to prove that if for a given quadruple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \mathfrak{C}(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ we have equality in one of the Inequalities (3.1), then all points of \mathbf{p} lie on an \mathbb{R} -circle. For this, with no loss of generality we may suppose that the equation in question is

$$\mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = 1,$$

and we shall distinguish two cases. First, one of the points of \mathbf{p} lies on the boundary and second, no point of \mathbf{p} lies on the boundary. In the first case, we may assume after a Heisenberg translation and inversion if necessary, that \mathbf{p} is the quadruple

$$p_1 = \infty, \quad p_2 = (\zeta_2, v_2, u_2), \quad p_3 = (\zeta_3, v_3, u_3), \quad p_4 = (0, 0, u_4).$$

Then $\mathbb{X}_1^\rho(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbb{X}_2^\rho(\mathbf{p}) = 1$ reads as

$$\rho(p_4, p_2) = \rho(p_4, p_3) + \rho(p_3, p_2).$$

As in Remark 2.3, one shows that this implies

$$u_2 = u_3 = u_4 = u, \quad v_2 = v_3 = 0, \quad \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \zeta_2 \cdot \zeta_3 > 0,$$

and therefore p_i belong in the standard \mathbb{R} -circle of height u ; moreover, p_1 and p_3 separate p_2 and p_4 .

In the case where no point of \mathbf{p} belongs to the boundary, we may normalise so that

$$p_1 = (0, 0, u_1), \quad p_2 = (\zeta_2, v_2, u_2), \quad p_3 = (\zeta_3, v_3, u_3), \quad p_4 = (\zeta_4, v_4, u_4).$$

In the case where $u_i = u$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, that is, all p_i belong to the same horosphere of height u , we obtain the result by applying inversion I^u . We now claim that the possibility that p_i do not lie on the same horosphere cannot exist. Assuming the contrary, with no loss of generality we suppose that $u_1 = \min\{u_i, i = 1, \dots, 4\}$ is the strict minimum of u_i ; i.e., there exists at least one u_j , $j = 2, 3, 4$, $j \neq 1$ with $u_1 < u_j$. Consider then the auxiliary points

$$p'_1 = o, \quad p'_2 = (\zeta_2, v_2, u_2 - u_1), \quad p'_3 = (\zeta_3, v_3, u_3 - u_1), \quad p'_4 = (\zeta_4, v_4, u_4 - u_1).$$

Applying inversion I we obtain the points

$$\infty, \quad I(p'_2), \quad I(p'_3), \quad I(p'_4).$$

If $I(p'_4) = (\zeta, v, u)$, applying the Heisenberg translation $T_{(-\zeta, -v)}$ we have the points

$$\infty, \quad q_2, \quad q_3, \quad (0, 0, u).$$

Since the cross-ratios have remained invariant, we have that the latter points belong to the standard \mathbb{R} -circle of height u and $q_2 = (x_2, 0, u)$, $q_3 = (x_3, 0, u)$ and $x_2 \cdot x_3 > 0$. Moving backwards we have

$$I(p'_2) = (\zeta + x_2, v, u), \quad I(p'_2) = (\zeta + x_3, v, u), \quad I(p'_4) = (\zeta, v, u).$$

but this is possible only if $I = I^u$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Buckley & S. Falk & D. Wraith. *Ptolemaic spaces and CAT(0)*. Glasg. Math. J. 51.02 (2009): 301–314.
 - [2] S. Buyalo & V. Schroeder; *Möbius structures and Ptolemy spaces: boundary at infinity of complex hyperbolic spaces*. arXiv:1012.1699v1 [math.MG].
 - [3] W. Goldman; *Complex hyperbolic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
 - [4] T. Foertsch & A. Lytchak & V. Schroeder. *Nonpositive curvature and the Ptolemy inequality*. Int. Math. Res. Notice 2007 (2007): rnm100.
 - [5] T. Foertsch & V. Schroeder. *Hyperbolicity, CAT(−1)-spaces and the Ptolemy Inequality*. Math. Ann. 350.2 (2011): 339–356.
 - [6] D. Kay. *The Ptolemaic inequality in Hilbert geometries*. Pac. J. of Math. 21.2 (1967): 293–301.
 - [7] J.R. Parker; *Complex hyperbolic Kleinian groups*. Notes, to be published by Cambridge University Press.
 - [8] I.D. Platis; *Cross-ratios and the Ptolemaean inequality in boundaries of symmetric spaces of rank 1*. Geom. Ded. 169 (2014): 187–208.
 - [9] I.D. Platis & V. Schroeder; *Möbius rigidity of invariant metrics in boundaries of symmetric spaces of rank-1*. arXiv:1406.6770v3 [math.MG].
 - [10] I. Schoenberg. *A remark on M.M. Day's characterization of inner-product spaces and a conjecture of L.M. Blumenthal*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3.6 (1952): 961-964.
- E-mail address:* jplatis@math.uoc.gr, nceylan@aku.edu.tr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, UNIVERSITY CAMPUS, GR 700 13 VOUTES, HERAKLION CRETE, GREECE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AFYON KOCATEPE UNIVERSITY, GAZLIGÖL YOLU REKTÖRLÜK E BLOK, TR 03200 AFYON KARAHISAR, TURKEY